## FILE/DIRECTION/ORDER

			61-17-579285-00CP	
			CU- 14-513403-00CP Hilton Court File 4771114	
BEFORE JUDGE	Glustein	AC	CTION # 0+4mma COUNT All 10. 63387	115
	Strengtho	Mercices Hd. et a	L	
			Plaintiff(s)	
		-V-		
	Navistar Can	ada Inc. et al		
			Defendant(s)	
CASE MANAGEMEN	IT: YES	NO	i foreman@foremancompany	. wro
COUNSEL: J.R.	oxeran/S. Bourden/A.	Legale-Levil Fo EMA	showden @ foremancompany	· com
			ALL: alegatewolfer foremancompany,	S. COM
COUNSEL: 7	P. Rochan / R. Podolay	EMA	ALL: sportaling e rochangenova, w	c
<u> </u>	· Zayid / M. Bridges		czayide mecattycea	
ORDER	DIREC	TION TO REGISTRAR	mbridges@mccathy.ca	
Notice Hambin	1 1 100 100 11111			
Nature of the motion				
The plantils in	(i) Strywa well Se	rices et d v. Na	istar locale Tre ed of	
(Toronto loved file (	10. CV 17-57928	5-00 cP) (HE " WAS	weekled Achor"), (ii)	
Raymond Bruhme	et al v. Navistar Con	edo Incetal (Tora	nto loud file 110. CU-14-	
		(m)	en Ud et al v. Navistas	
513403-0018114	he Bruhm Actor)	Stayuna Wellserno	en Ut de de U. Macistas	
Carola Trietal	(Milton Court File 4	77114) (He Stagues	= Action") and (ii) RiA	
Trans Gop. U. Mac	istor Compatre et	al (Others hour R	ec No. 63357 /15 (the	
			2	
		B	Justice Glustein	
			Justice Glustein	

Duorby 21, 223 DATE

# FILE DIRECTION/ORDER-page 2 of 2

Court File Number:	
'RIA Achia" (collectively, the OrtanoAchors) bing motions to (i) garage the	
denotrous of the Ortano Archins as against all defended, being Mistor Condy	R
buston Trend Maristo Intendional Corporation (whatevery "naistor") and	9
ii) direct that the respective orders and any reasons from this would be produced on the	
rebrutes of phintills' was and that no other notice when sections 19 or 100 25	
the (biss Proceedings Ad, 1992, S. a 1992, c. b (the CPA) is required.	
For the reasons that follow, I great the redig sought in the Onterio Actions,	
These reasons apply ball of theorem Achias.	
Backgrand	
The Contino Achins are amongst agory I classautions in Mandon, British	,
(dursh, Arete, or Duske that all raise the socially distributed natistar	•
montantual and sold touts untaring engines that where allegedly	-
defative Extant for Recirculation (FBR) technology.	-
Object(s)	-
Shortly flertless authors were commenced, as consult is the content faction	
elections a consisting agreered to prosent the bounders that Achor and the	
DATE: 12/21/23 UDGE'S SIGNATURE	-
DATE: 1774723 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE	

# FILE DIRECTION/ORDER-page 3 of 8

DATE: 12/21/13

## FILE DIRECTION/ORDER-page 4 of 2

Court File Number:
Bl, Sayur, bruhmadlonsd, bld Advir. The planty's at their wound with markey
Albert and P. A Actions are modernes I the Nobel Sectional Agreement.
party attended sollied of \$14.5 million for the Sent after settlemed loss
The 81 Andrews certified for settlement purpos on July 24, 223, Settlement characters in class to the expert weeks were class restricted to the expert weeks were
class rootes (uhul induled all release the the hand le la exect cases) were
Which to a comprehense notice procom for delines of which should have specially a the Be Adir. But Adir. But the comprehenses when
at the settlement grand learn of the BC Patien. parties was 1000
Orters Adres received when the Notual Eddlaret Agressant though the count-
away whepoper it is a his To who shot that the settlement, it offers
will read al authorized as light again the Defe this on a minute si
including yould ases prevery broad & larger w Ontono, Pelect and Market.
1 1 1 to their botte
Settlend closworks undertende prom, I ditte agostut to object to the
Note I selected of got and of the lighter schore Sphere 18, 2023 al
Muster 17, 223. No Jetton loss nente objeted by the settlement of geted
out allo lights.

DATE: 12/21/23

# FILE DIRECTION/ORDER-page 5 of 2

Court File Number:
The Directonians
Clauses 10:00 to 10:04 of the court opened Notwal Settlement Agreement
porto Gitte proposal les unhavano of the orters the plantis inte
who have at their wound or all making I the Notional Settland Agreement.
Carq to playforte whois Advis Lave wholes the word to desurtanothe
continuation and lave sized distails in syrust of the posset make
Firstor note of settlement of al leauntinions
Settle rad closs norber will be receny further notice related the closures process
11 of whenle councillant los serves KAHE Noth Millerad Agreenes
us grand out de endes all outstelling closhed de agent telle lat
unghad bess,
Fullo, ward for the plantists who intono Pertirs proporto post a cegar
of the vones who all among of reasons to the respective Newston
(Con Ahir vehniles, diguite and prody couring of the disurbruous
promot to the Robert Settlant Agreement
DATE: 12/2/23 JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

# FILE DIRECTION/ORDER-page 6 of 8

Court File Number:
Λ ·
Makes .
I find alless the vome of what water Duties should discontinued,
I the words lette fullen why yells describered beyon the proposed notice
proved by the plantil.) is required.
(i) Discohonarce
I apour of the demonstrate of the colors prohise. Endy on the follows!
(a) The botom Administ filed in good fait at full proper primes
deeligredress Enledged Folldat
(6) The BC Addir proceeded Rid Lossel dir only the purps of interjundantial
efficiency at worthing the eight laster predictions presented in
Babl Warba sure that line
(S) Trediscoperous de not crete popular, to the colos, the facility
telle gregorited at row good settlemed selles tollas
(d) Pulster lass varies - the value Prohis take to pour less with approximating
Longel Lite Note I settlered Against and grand grand grand grand grand of the Be Achen
(e) prompte the as no futto nicht the concept thought conton- Andres
Dry claris in the tentons Arhus are non visible, as the public class ments

DATE: 12/21/23

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

# FILE DIRECTION/ORDER-page 1 of 8 Court File Number. \_\_\_ vilenter Adress Laurence of releastles clairs gas north relate to f- the dry reas, I appear to prove the formances of the cation Achion. we the BX Adis discolucions of the beton Archas

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

DATE: \_12/21/23

# FILE DIRECTION/ORDER-pages of 3 Court File Number: \_\_\_\_\_ (c) No publicles sender object the Notwell followed Areard is like by of and atte BC Adis (d) Suldgard loss sentes well & sears tother who relatest the clara prosectivella clus from the to Notural Scapeoul Agreement usqueed asted of rester all outstedy lossly divages perfetaborandels. Rolledue resus, I grand the popul form of notice of describerate. Rotte about resurs I grather alid angu- todas Logon to contro Sine the regarde Lt puted or the reach rushels of plants' course, I tradut one splatts' was ludertook printe ne with a torson selves in I tlass regards agracia. I regardle y UL rado por substitute waches totas regard you reven of the Launked regions

DATE: 12/21/23

JUDGE'S SIGNATURE

## ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

STAYURA WELL SERVICES LTD.; MCCLARY LOGISTICS LTD.; RAYMOND BRUHM and KAYANGELA BRUHM

**Plaintiffs** 

- and -

NAVISTAR CANADA INC., NAVISTAR INC., and NAVISTAR INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

**Defendants** 

Proceeding under the Class Proceedings Act, 1992, S.O. 1992, c.6

Toronto Court File No. CV-14-513403-00CP

## ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

RAYMOND BRUHM and KAYANGELA BRUHM

**Plaintiffs** 

- and -

NAVISTAR CANADA INC. and NAVISTAR INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

Defendants

Proceeding under the Class Proceedings Act, 1992, S.O. 1992, c.6

Milton Court File No. 4771/14

## ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

STAYURA WELL SERVICES LTD.

**Plaintiffs** 

- and -

NAVISTAR CANADA INC., NAVISTAR INC., and NAVISTAR INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

Defendants

Proceeding under the Class Proceedings Act, 1992, S.O. 1992, c.6

Ottawa Court File No. 63387/15

## ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

R&A TRANS CORP.

Plaintiff

- and -

NAVISTAR CANADA, INC., NAVISTAR, INC., AND NAVISTAR INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

Defendants

Proceeding under the Class Proceedings Act, 1992, S.O. 1992, c.6

Counsel: J. Foreman, S. Bowden, A. Legate-Wolfe, J. Rochon, R. Podolny, C. Zayid and M. Bridges

Emails: jforeman@foremancompany.com, sbowden@foremancompany.com, alegatewolfe@foremancompany.com, jrochon@rochongenova.com, rpodolny@rochongenova.com, czayid@mccarthy.ca, and mbridges@mccarthy.ca.

#### **Endorsement**

## Nature of the motions and overview

The plaintiffs in (i) Stayura Well Services et al. v. Navistar Canada Inc. et al. (Toronto Court File No. CV-17-579285-00CP) (the "Consolidated Action"), (ii) Raymond Bruhm et al. v. Navistar Canada Inc. et al. (Toronto Court File No. CV-14-513403-00CP) (the "Bruhm Action"), (iii) Stayura Well Services Ltd. et al. v. Navistar Canada Inc. et al. (Milton Court File 4771/14) (the "Stayura Action"), and (iv) R&A Trans Corp. v. Navistar Canada Inc. et al. (Ottawa Court File No. 63387/15) (the "R&A Action") (collectively, the "Ontario Actions") bring motions to:

- (i) approve the discontinuances of the Ontario Actions as against all defendants, being Navistar Canada, Inc., Navistar, Inc., and Navistar International Corporation (collectively "Navistar"); and
- (ii) direct that the respective orders and any reasons from this court be posted on the website of plaintiffs' counsel and that no other notice under sections 19 and/or 29 of the *Class Proceedings Act*, 1992, S.O. 1992, c. 6 (the "*CPA*") is required.

For the reasons that follow, I grant the relief sought in the Ontario Actions. These reasons apply to all of the Ontario Actions.

### Background

The Ontario Actions are amongst a group of class actions in Manitoba, British Columbia, Alberta and Québec that all raise the same allegation that Navistar manufactured and sold trucks containing engines that utilized allegedly defective Exhaust Gas Recirculation ("EGR") technology.

Shortly after these actions were commenced, plaintiffs' counsel in the Ontario Actions entered into a consortium agreement to prosecute the Consolidated Action and the action in *N & C Transportation Ltd. v. Navistar International Corporation, et al.* (Vancouver Registry No. VLC-S-S-144960)(the "BC Action"), on a national basis with Farris <sup>LLP</sup>, counsel in the BC Action. The litigation has been predominantly prosecuted from the British Columbia jurisdiction since that time.

The BC Action was initially certified as a national, opt-in class proceeding, and later extended to encompass all provinces and territories in Canada on an opt-out basis, with the exception of Québec. The Québec Action (4037308 Canada Inc. v. Navistar Canada Inc. et al., Québec Superior Court File No.500-06-000720-140) was resolved by a settlement agreement approved by the court. No certification motion or application was heard in either the Manitoba Action (Estate of Vernon James Brown v. Navistar Canada Inc. et al., Manitoba Queen's Bench File No. CI 14-01-90962) or in the Alberta Action (Edmund Zechel dba Zechel Trucking v. Navistar Canada Inc., et al., Alberta Court of Queen's Bench File No. 1403 16425).

#### National Settlement Agreement

On June 8, 2023, after extensive negotiations between the parties lasting over a year, the "National Settlement Agreement" was reached between Navistar and the plaintiffs in the BC, Stayura, Bruhm and Consolidated Actions. The plaintiffs and their counsel in the Manitoba, Alberta and R & A Actions are signatories to the National Settlement Agreement. That agreement provides settlement benefits valued at \$14.5 million for the benefit of the settlement class.

The BC Action was certified for settlement purposes on July 24, 2023. Settlement class members (which included all relevant class members in Canada except Québec) were subject to a comprehensive notice program for delivery of notices of certification and of the settlement approval hearing of the BC Action. Consequently, potential class members in the Ontario Actions received notice of the National Settlement Agreement through the court-approved notice program in the BC Action. The notice stated that "the Settlement, if approved, will resolve all outstanding class litigation against the Defendants on a national basis including similar cases previously brought by lawyers in Ontario, Alberta and Manitoba".

Settlement class members, under the notice program, had the opportunity to object to the National Settlement Agreement or opt-out of the litigation between September 18, 2023 and November 17, 2023. No settlement class member objected to the settlement or opted out of the litigation.

#### The Discontinuances

Clauses 10.02 to 10.04 of the court-approved National Settlement Agreement provide for the proposed discontinuances of the Ontario Actions. The plaintiffs in the Ontario Actions and their counsel are all signatories to the National Settlement Agreement. Each of the plaintiffs in the Ontario Actions have instructed their counsel to discontinue the Ontario Actions and have signed affidavits in support of the present motion.

#### Further notice of settlement approval and discontinuances

Settlement class members will be receiving further notice related to the claims process. That notice will advise settlement class members that the National Settlement Agreement was approved and that it resolves all outstanding class litigation against the defendants on a national basis.

Further, counsel for the plaintiffs in the Ontario Actions propose to post a copy of the issued orders and these accompanying reasons to their respective Navistar Class Action websites, along with a statement providing a summary of the discontinuance pursuant to the National Settlement Agreement.

### **Analysis**

I first address the issue of whether the Ontario Actions should be discontinued. I then consider whether further notice of the discontinuance (being the form of notice proposed by the plaintiffs) is required.

## (i) Discontinuance

I approve the discontinuance of the Ontario Actions. I rely on the following:

- a) The Ontario Actions were filed in good faith and for the proper purpose of seeking redress for the alleged EGR defect;
- b) The BC Action proceeded first to certification and for the purposes of interjurisdictional efficiency and coordination. The litigation has been predominantly prosecuted in British Columbia since that time:
- c) The discontinuances do not create prejudice. To the contrary, they facilitate the flow of negotiated and now approved settlement benefits to the class;
- d) Putative class members to the Ontario Actions have been provided with notice to object to the National Settlement Agreement and to opt-out of the BC Action;
- e) There are no further rights to be conveyed through the Ontario Actions. Any claims in the Ontario Actions are non-viable, as the putative class members in the Ontario Actions have irrevocably released their claims against Navistar relating to the alleged EGR defects.

For the above reasons, I approve the proposed discontinuances of the Ontario Actions. The discontinuances are part of a nationally coordinated settlement agreement sought in good faith, without any prejudice to class members, and avoids the duplication of judicial and party resources.

## (ii) Proposed notice

I am satisfied that notice of the discontinuance should be limited to posting the applicable discontinuance order to the website of the respective counsel webpages, along with a copy of these reasons, and a statement providing a summary of to the discontinuance pursuant to the National Settlement Agreement. I rely on the following:

- a) Potential class members in the Ontario Actions received notice of the National Settlement Agreement through the court-approved notice program in the BC Action;
- b) The BC Action notice approval program includes express reference to the discontinuances of the Ontario Actions;
- c) No putative class member objected to the National Settlement Agreement or chose to opt out of the BC Action;
- d) Settlement class members will be receiving further notice related to the claims process which will advise them that the National Settlement Agreement was approved and that it resolves all outstanding class litigation against the defendants on a national basis.

For these reasons, I approve of the proposed form of notice of discontinuance.

<u>Conclusion</u>	
For the above reasons, I grant the relief sought.	Orders to go with the Ontario Actions as attached.
Date: 12/21/23	
	Judge's Signature